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Abstract
Over the past years several attempts for connecting Moodle to mobile devices have been made. The past attempts are focused on making the functions of the virtual learning environment (VLE) available on mobile devices. For this particular form of enabling access to learning the mobile device is limited to a special display type. Features of personalizing learning experiences based on the learners’ mobility and their changing information needs in different contexts is typically not considered by these developments. This conceptual paper analyses the underlying concepts for a system-architecture for device adaption for mobile learning. The analysis focuses on educational and technical perspectives for system design. The results of this analysis are transferred for integrating Moodle into ubiquitous computing environments.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Over the past years several attempts for connecting Moodle to mobile devices have been made (Yingling, 2006; Moodle4iPhone, 2010). Similar attempts can be found for commercial products (Blackboard, 2010; GiuntiLabs, 2010; IMC AG, 2010) and other open source solutions (Silverio, 2008; Ghiglione, 2009). The past attempts are focused on making the functions of the virtual learning environment (VLE) available on mobile devices. For this particular form of enabling access to learning the mobile device is limited to a special display type. Features of personalizing learning experiences based on the learners’ mobility and their changing information needs in and across contexts is typically not considered by these developments.

This conceptual paper analyses an IT infrastructure-architecture for integrating aspects of learner-mobility with Moodle in order to blend relevant information from the VLE with spatial learning environments that are equipped with ubiquitous computing systems (Morken & Divitini, 2005). Mobile devices can serve as sensors for context detection as well as anchors for on-going personal interaction of learners with the VLE across contexts. This architecture is applied in a set of Moodle extensions.

The rationale of the presented architecture is based on the notion that learning processes are continuous processes that take place in different environments. These environments can be virtual or spatial. From an educational viewpoint the different learning environments are not disconnected. The connectedness of virtual and spatial learning environments has been widely reflected and discussed using the term “blended learning”. Until today, blending VLEs and spatial learning environments heavily rely on teacher mediation and are not well supported by the IT infrastructure.

The recent developments of mobile interfaces to VLEs illustrate the underlying problem. Although mobile devices can now be used to deliver learning resources to learners almost anywhere and anytime, the major VLEs do not enable instructors to contextualise learning activities. For example, by default it is not possible for instructors to anchor learning activities or resources to locations. If an instructor intends to utilize the VLE information during a fieldtrip, it is up to the instructor to communicate the relations between locations, learning activities, and resources.

Embedding a VLE into a ubiquitous computing environment raises another problem. By design, most VLEs have interfaces that allow a single system-user to interact through a single interface at a time. This means that every user of a VLE requires a dedicated interface that is bound to a single device. Even if a user has several devices at hand, the interfaces will represent the same learning environment rather than expanding it. Opposite to this single-user single-interface metaphor, ubiquitous computing environments typically follow a multi-user multi-interface design. This means that different system-users may share interfaces, or that they can distribute information across different interfaces.

In addition to the prominent device specific content delivery for improving the access to learning two problems areas have to be considered for “device adaptation”. The first problem is the contextualisation of learning and learning support. The second problem is the orchestration of interfaces and educational services.

The aspects delivery, contextualisation, and orchestration are important for mobile learning. By integrating them into VLE functionality eases the use of mobile learning approaches by a larger educational audience.

This paper discusses the underlying concepts of a system-architecture for device adaption for mobile learning. The analysis focuses on educational and technical perspectives for system design. The results of this analysis are transferred for integrating Moodle into a ubiquitous computing environment.
2. RESEARCH OBJECTIVE
The primary objective of the present research is to adapt
technology-equipped spatial learning environments to the
learning tasks of a learner or a group of learners, where the
contextualised learning tasks are defined in a VLE. This
special form of device adaptation is based on the
observation that the different learning environments are not
separated elements of the learning process. Instead, the
different environments are interconnected and contribute to
the same process. Constructing and managing the
connections between the different learning environments
with different technologies is typically left to teachers and
instructors. Through a technological integration it is
expected that the complexity of managing blended learning
across learning environments can be reduced.

The primary objective of the present research has two
subordinate technical objectives that are within the scope of
this paper.
1. Extending the context-awareness of Moodle.
2. Integrating Moodle into technology-equipped
spatial learning environments.

It is necessary to extend Moodle with functions that
add generic context-awareness to the system, because the
VLE needs to be aware of the learners’ contexts in order to
select and to adapt information for the spatial learning
environment. Learner support in spatial learning
environments requires that the entire environment is aware
of implicit factors that define a learning setting, whereas
learning activities in most contemporary VLEs are
structured by the explicit arrangement of resources and
services as well as on the explicit navigation of the learners
through these arrangements.

On top of generic context-awareness, additional
interfaces for Moodle are required for embedding the VLE
into a ubiquitous computing environment. These interfaces
have to reflect that the learners no longer access the VLE
through a single communication channel, but through a
heterogeneous infrastructure of personal and shared
devices. Therefore, the VLE needs to be able to distribute
information across different user-interfaces rather than just
responding to a page-request from a web-browser.

3. BACKGROUND
Although the objectives appear to be primarily technology-
centred, the technology requires an educational and
instructional foundation. For this purpose this section
emphasizes three conceptual pillars.
1. Personalisation and contextualisation
2. Orchestrating learning
3. Supporting learner-mobility

3.1 Personalisation and Contextualisation
Personalisation is increasingly important in technology-
enhanced learning. However, personalised learning is not
unambiguous. Two general viewpoints on personalisation
can be identified. The first viewpoint defines personalised
learning as individualised and tailored educational
experiences (Aroyo et al., 2006). The personal dimension
in this viewpoint is directed towards facilitated educational
processes that are unique to a learner. The second
viewpoint emphasises the personal relevancy and
involvement of individuals in learning process (Verpoorten,
et al., 2009). From this perspective, personalised learning
refers to those processes that support learners to take
responsibility and control over their learning and enable
them to reflect on the learning on a meta-cognitive level.
The two perspectives on personalisation are not
mutually exclusive: learner-controlled learning processes
may lead to unique learning experiences and automatically
adapted educational environments may support deeper
learning experiences that help them to feel more
responsible for their learning. However, learner-control
does not promote a specific kind of educational approach,
because learner-control and unique learning experiences
can be provided in mass education, and fully tailored
educational processes can be provided without leaving any
control to the learner.

Contextualisation can be considered as a more generic
form of personalisation. The concept of contextualising
broadens the scope from the individual learner controlling a
self-centred process to the learner in a context that includes
co-influencing relations between elements within the same
context (Zimmermann, Specht, & Lorenz, 2005,
Zimmermann, Lorenz, & Oppermann, 2007). Contextual-
isation can be considered as adaptation processes that
support learners to identify, create, and maintain relations
between elements including themselves in and across
contexts.

Device adaptation has been previously discussed
largely as a special form of “adaptive presentation”
(Brusilovsky, 2001). The related research (Ally, Lin,
McGreal, & Woo, 2005; Bomsdorf, 2005; Elson, Reynolds,
& Chapman, 2007; Hassan & Al-Sadi, 2009; Herder & van
Dijk, 2002; Martin, Carro, & Rodriguez, 2006) highlights
the need for adapting learning resources and services to the
user interfaces of mobile devices. This type of adaptation
primarily focuses on device characteristics and is combined
with additional adaptive approaches for personalisation.
However, device adaptation is restricted to adaptive
presentation. In order to widening the scope of device
adaptation the following definition is proposed.

Device adaptation describes approaches of adaptive
systems that include device characteristics in their
adaptation strategy.

This definition suggests another type of device
adaptation besides including previously suggested
approaches: adaptive device selection. Adaptive device
selection describes approaches that identify and select
devices for user interaction based on contextual parameters.
This type of adaptation is of particular interest for
combining mobile learning with ubiquitous computing
(Specht, 2009). Figure 1 shows a multi-interface
environment with personal and social interfaces.
3.2 Orchestrating Learning

Dillenbourg (2007) identifies three dimensions that are involved in orchestration. The first dimension is the interplay of learning activities at different social planes. The planes are bound to the social connectedness of learners on the activity level and can include the individual, collaborative, collective (class wide) activities. The second dimension is the timing of an educational script. Timing refers to the interrelation of the learning activities and the transitions from one activity to another. The last dimension is the focus on the learning process. Focus refers to emphasizing or hiding aspects of the learning objective in order to guide the students' attention. Integrating these dimensions allows teachers to manage the available environment for learning.

Orchestrating learning is closely related to educational design. According to Goodyear & Yang (2009) educational design “is largely a matter of thinking about good learning tasks (good things for learners to do) and about the physical and human resources that can help learners to succeed with such tasks.” (Goodyear & Yang, 2009: p. 169) When analyzing educational designs it is required to distinguish between learning outcomes, learning activities, and learning tasks. “Learning outcomes are the durable, intended, and unintended cognitive, affective, and psychomotor consequences of the learner's activity (mental or physical).” (Goodyear & Yang, 2009: p. 169) These outcomes are the result of what the learner does. In other words, learning outcomes are the direct consequence of the activity of a learner. According to Goodyear & Yang, learning activities are based on the learner's interpretation of the requirements of learning tasks. Teachers or instructional designers typically define learning tasks.

Van Merriënboer, Clark, & de Croock (2002) structure the educational design process into four interrelated components: learning tasks, supportive information, just-in-time information, and part-task practice. Learning tasks are provided to learners in order to stimulate whole-task experiences for constructing knowledge (schema and rules). Supportive information is supportive with respect to the learning tasks. It bridges between learners’ prior knowledge and the learning task. Just-in-time information refers to procedural rules of the educational design and the related information for communicating these rules to learners. Part-task practice items “are provided to learners in order to promote rule automation for selected recurrent aspects of the whole complex skill” (Van Merriënboer, Clark, & de Croock, 2002: p. 43). Educational design processes rely on aligning these components for generating coherent learning experiences that lead to higher transfer performance then designs that do not take all components into account.

While educational design is indirect to the learning situation, orchestrating learning implies also the direct management of performing learning tasks during runtime. From this viewpoint orchestrating learning includes the personalisation and the adaptation of learning tasks, because personalisation and adaptation refer to management decisions related to dynamic task arrangements in a learning environment. However, educational design and orchestrating learning go beyond defining rules for learning. Both concepts build on three pillars: learning tasks (and sub-tasks), learning environments, and procedural rules. Orchestrating learning crucially depends on the coordination of the relations between these pillars.

Koper & Specht (2008) argue that related coordination problems can be identified at different levels of complexity of the learning environment. New tools and services can enrich the learning environment in ways that meet the learning needs of lifelong learners. Furthermore, the authors emphasize the connectedness of services and roles in learner communities at the different levels.

Based on the research on educational design, orchestrating learning refers to the coordination and the alignment of four dimensions.

- The roles that are involved in the educational activities and the interplay of the different social planes (Dillenbourg, 2007).
- The learning tasks include the main learning tasks (Goodyear & Yang, 2009) supportive tasks, and part tasks (Van Merriënboer, Clark, & de Croock, 2002).
- The learning environment includes all kinds of services, knowledge resources (Koper & Specht,
The typical VLE approach to orchestrating learning is to arrange the resources and services around a specific learning task. This leads to appropriate results because the VLE controls the relation of learning tasks and the related environmental elements. In other words, the environment cannot change without changing the learning task.

From the more general instructional design perspective, rules, learning tasks, and the learning environment mutually influence each other within the conditions defined by the rules that guide the educational process. For example, possible learning tasks can be constrained by the presence or absence of other participants in an environment. Therefore, mechanisms for integrating a VLE into ubiquitous computing environments are required in order to reflect the mutual relationships between the elements of educational processes.

3.3 Supporting Learner-mobility

The ambient information channel (AICHE) model (Specht, 2009) is an attempt to integrate concepts of context-aware computing and the relations of different aspects of mobile learning. It allows analysing generic patterns of contextual interactions and contextual learning support. These patterns include context matching as well as context construction. The patterns can be used to provide generic solutions for conflict resolution, so the rules and the directives of an instructional design can focus on relevant aspects of the educational process. Furthermore, the AICHE model helps to describe and to analyze contextual information needs of mobile learners.

The core facets of the AICHE model are information channels and physical artefacts. By abstracting information channels from their presentation modes it is possible to model the arrangement and re-arrangement of information channels depending on a learner’s context. The arrangement of information channels means that a channel can be temporarily bound to physical artefacts, e.g. a TV set, a mobile phone, or a desktop computer. The underlying contextualisation pattern is based on the process of aggregation, enrichment, synchronisation, and framing of information. Aggregation refers to the collection and processing of low-level sensor data into operational information. The enrichment process connects the operational information to the related entities of a process. During the synchronisation process related (enriched) entities are identified. This process results in a matching of entities. E.g., the location of a learner is matched with the location of artefacts through related location metadata. The framing process is mostly related to feedback and the stimulation of meta-cognitive processes. This process is related to the construction of educational contexts.

The separation of devices and information channels in the AICHE model opens a new perspective on mobile learning: the mobility of learners takes place in an ecosystem of technologies. In the last decade devices and technologies were increasingly converging. The “Internet of Things” (Sarma, Brock, & Ashton, 2000) and ubiquitous computing (Weiser & Brown, 1996) slowly become part of normal life in industrial nations. An increasing number of home entertainment devices, including TV sets and digital picture frames, are already equipped with network connectivity and can integrate seamlessly into home computing networks and connect to services on the Internet. Following the AICHE model the different devices are possible endpoints for information channels. However, the setting of the different devices varies and creates specific requirements for information provisioning. These requirements go beyond the personal computing paradigm (Thacker, McCreight, Lampson, Sproull, & Boggs, 1979).

Previous research has suggested a layered system-model for contextualisation and adaptation for technology-enhanced learning support (Zimmermann, Specht, & Lorenz, 2005; Verpoorten et al., 2009). The model describes an information-processing pipeline. This pipeline is based on the input from a sensor network. The tracked data is diverted for information presentation as well as for the control processes for system adaptation. Table 1 shows the relation of the proposed layers with the related data processing functions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Architecture layers</th>
<th>Data processing functions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Sensor layer</td>
<td>Data collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Semantic layer</td>
<td>Information selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a. Control layer</td>
<td>Information arrangement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b. Control layer</td>
<td>Information application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Indicator layer</td>
<td>Information presentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For categorising different approaches to mobile learning that reflects the different characteristics of information technologies a simple framework is proposed. This framework has two main dimensions that characterise a device: the mobility dimension and the ownership dimension. The mobility dimension distinguishes between mobile and stationary technologies. Mobile technologies are easy to transport by a single person and allow the usage while being mobile. Stationary technologies refer to technologies that require some installation before they can be used or transported. The ownership dimension separates personal and social technologies. Personal technologies are designed for being used by a single person. E.g., mobile phones, PDA, and personal computers are personal technologies. Social technologies allow simultaneous information access for groups. Interactive billboards and public information screens are examples of social technologies.
By connecting the two dimensions four technology clusters can be indentified (Figure 2). The first cluster is related to stationary personal technologies. This cluster is directly related to personal computing. The second cluster is the mobile personal technology cluster. This cluster groups technologies such as PDA, mobile phones, and mobile gaming devices. The third cluster integrates stationary social technologies, such as electronic billboards or interactive information walls. Finally, the fourth cluster refers to mobile social technologies. As an example of such technologies may serve portable speaker systems through which sound experiences can be shared.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device mobility</th>
<th>Primary device usage</th>
<th>Social</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stationary</td>
<td>Personal Computer</td>
<td>Smart board, public information screen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile</td>
<td>Mobile phone, PDA</td>
<td>Mobile Audio Speaker System (excl. head-phones)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Dimensions of mobile learning support

The framework allows focusing on the characteristics of technology use when conceptualising and analysing contextualisation of information channels. While recent developments focus primarily on personal devices the present research seeks to extend the scope to stationary social systems.

4. CONNECTING MOODLE TO SPATIAL LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS

This section describes the architecture for integrating Moodle into a ubiquitous computing environment. Figure 3 shows the different components of the presented solution in relation to the conceptual model for context-aware and adaptive systems.

The core requirement for the implementation was to avoid changes of the core application interface. This has been achieved through Moodle’s plug-in interface. The rectangles in Figure 3 indicate the plug-ins, which were implemented. This approach was only violated by extending the internal function for activity tracking in order to trigger data aggregation and to control processes depending on the learners’ activity. The logging function is shown as a rectangle with rounded corners. This small extension (a single line of code) automatically allows any activities using existing Moodle plug-ins to trigger personalisation and adaptation processes in external plug-ins.

4.1 Data collection from external sensors

For connecting Moodle to ubiquitous computing environments the system has been analysed based on the layered system-model. The first step for contextualisation and adaptation is the data collection. This data is required for user and context modelling.

Although Moodle does not support external sensors, the system provides a central tracking component. This system component is used by all system components and extensions for activity logging. This component is typically only available to instructors for monitoring learner activities. By implementing a service interface based on the
Moodle plug-in application interface the internal tracking component is exposed to external sensor networks.

The initial use of the data collection service is to return the location of learners to the system using the geo-location data that is offered by web-browsers of recent mobile devices. This data is collected through Moodle’s mobile user-interface. Together with asynchronous service calls this is the foundation for integrating location awareness into Moodle. Wireless Network triangulation capabilities that are present in an increasing number of mobile handheld devices even allows indoor location tracking where GPS signals are usually inaccurate or unavailable.

4.2 Data aggregation and information selection
The second layer of the system-model is the semantic layer. This layer defines the context model and performs data selection and data aggregation operations on the tracked sensor data. Moodle itself has no data selection functions other than exposing the raw tracking information to system administrators and course instructors. Therefore, an aggregation plug-in has been implemented for Moodle. This plug-in provides selected views on the tracked data. Each view can get accessed through named aggregators that relate to a data selection and processing function on the activity logs.

In order to provide data privacy, only authenticated system users have access to these aggregators. Furthermore, this plug-in implements social perspectives on the data. A social perspective provides learners with anonymous information about their peers. The different social perspectives are “self”, “friends” (learners who are in the personal address book), “group members” (in case of group work), and “peers” (other learners who are enrolled in the same courses). The social perspectives provide basic metrics to the learners in order to relate their personal activity to the activity of their peers.

The aggregators of the semantic layer are dynamic factors of the context model that is used for adapting social interfaces in the spatial learning environment. The control layer uses the output of the aggregators for identifying the activity context of the learners, and for adapting the learning environment if necessary.

4.3 Context modelling
In addition to dynamic context factors context identification and adaptation require static reference factors. These static factors of the context model are considered partly as elements of a learning environment and partly as rules of an instructional design. Therefore, a teacher or instructor has to be able to anchor information channels to locations in the spatial learning environment. An information channel can be any resource or service (e.g., a discussion forum) that is part of a Moodle course.

Anchoring information-channels to ubiquitous computing environments requires two additional models.

1. A context model

2. An information-channel model.

The context model is shared across all courses in the Moodle instance. This model defines the name of a context, the extent of a context, and the devices that are available in that context.

The name of a context is needed so teachers and instructors can later link their information channels to the location. For example, a name of a context can be the number of a room where certain learning activities should be performed.

The extent of a context is used to identify if a learner matches the context. This defines the outer boundaries of a location, so it is possible to distinguish if a learner is present at a location. If the extent of a context refers to a parameter in a specific course, then this context is limited to a single course. Locations are normally modelled as global contexts.

The devices that are available in a context are modelled as URLs to services that can be used for sending a particular information type to a device. Additionally every URL has an indicator if it provides a personal or a social interface, and if the service is capable to integrate the same information channels for different learners.

The information channel model connects resources or services to a location. The information channel model can be defined for an entire course or bound to a single learning activity. If a resource or a particular service is anchored to a location it is no longer available to the learners as part of the normal course structure.

4.4 Controlling contextualisation
The control layer uses the dynamic context model based on the learners’ tracking information, the context model, and the information-channel model for arranging the information that has to be available in the learners’ context. If a new context has been identified for a learner, then the related course and, if defined, the related learning activity is activated, too. Furthermore, all information channels are selected for the active context.

The information arrangement of the control layer is implemented as a set of internal functions that are invoked by the higher order interfaces during the information application process. A learner is considered to be in a context, if the extent of the context matches the dynamic context factors of the learner. The context matching is performed on the contextual dimensions that are defined by the AICHE model.

The information application is implemented as a web-service interface that allows reading the context state of a learner from a Moodle system. The information application layer of this control process triggers the information arrangement and if this process results in any information channels for a context this service will select appropriate interfaces for each information channel and forward the channel to the external device.

4.5 Information presentation
Every context can offer a range of interfaces for presenting information channels. Each interface in a ubiquitous
computing environment has to be considered as an independent information system that has special capabilities and that can be addressed through a common network infrastructure. The different capabilities of an interface are implemented as separate web-services to which the control layer can forward the information channels.

Because ubiquitous computing environments are in principal multi-user environments, the underlying web-services are responsible for integrating the information for different learners in case of shared interfaces or lock a system to a single learner in case of personal interfaces. How this integration is done depends on the type of information channel. For example, tagging channels of different learners might be integrated into a share tag cloud that can be used to discuss shared interests. In contrary, video streams on a shared screen will be cued and played sequentially.

4.6 Triggering the device adaptation process

In normal single-interface web-usage of Moodle, the activation of an adaptation processes is triggered by learners’ requests to the web-server of the VLE. In these settings the adaptation process is bound to the interactions of a learner with the VLE. If a component expects external updates in this setting, then it has to check periodically for them. This so-called pull-approach has been implemented by a few extensions of the Moodle system, such as the implementation of a chat tool. More commonly Moodle components do not expect any changes for the interface between two interactions. The single-interface metaphor assures that the adaptation process is related to the learner activity and that the number of requests per learner is reasonable.

In multi-interface settings the pull-approach quickly becomes inefficient, because a learner may has several information channels connected to different devices in an environment but interacts only with one at a time. As any interaction may affect information that is on display at another interface, all active interfaces have to check periodically for updates for all connected learners. In order to avoid unnecessary network overhead, the presented architecture pushes updates to the connected interfaces if needed.

In order to detect updates, the service of the control layer is triggered whenever data is added to the Moodle logs. However, context tests are only performed if the added data entry influences a dynamic context factor for the learner. Consequently, updates for the related information channels are only pushed if real updates become available.

5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This conceptual paper analysed the underlying concepts for a system-architecture for device adaption for mobile learning. The analysis focuses on educational and technical perspectives for system design. The results of this analysis are transferred for integrating Moodle into ubiquitous computing environments.

Integrating Moodle into ubiquitous computing environments required the development of new service interfaces for the system. Nevertheless, the central user-tracking component of Moodle has been reused. This has the main benefit that this architecture allows to use other learner activities within the VLE as contextualizing factors for the adaptation process because all operations for contextualisation and adaptation are built on top of this component. Furthermore, the architecture can be easily transferred to other VLE, because most systems have similar learner-tracking components.

Given the technical scope of this study further research is needed with regard to the effect of this extended perspective on device adaption for personalized learning and instructional design.
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