Fish consumption, not fatty acid status, is related to quality of life in a healthy population
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ABSTRACT

Depressive symptoms in the community have a considerable impact on quality of life. Although long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LCPUFA) have frequently been implicated in depressed mood, their relationship with quality of life has scarcely been investigated. This study examined the cross-sectional associations between fish consumption and plasma phospholipid LCPUFA status on the one hand, and quality of life, as measured by the Short Form 36 questionnaire, on the other in a population-based sample. The mental health component of quality of life was not associated with LCPUFA status or fish consumption. Fish consumption showed a positive association with physical well-being, which remained significant after correction for LCPUFA status, suggesting that the relationship between fish consumption and physical well-being is independent of the LCPUFA content of fish. These findings indicate that fish consumption may serve as a proxy for a healthy lifestyle or a favorable nutritional status, which is reflected in better quality of life.
1. INTRODUCTION
Depressive symptoms are highly prevalent in the general population [1]. Subclinical depressive symptoms not only significantly increase the risk of developing major depression [2, 3], but are also associated with considerable functional impairment [2, 4]. The negative impact of subclinical depressive symptoms on both physical and mental functioning is generally reflected in a reduction of quality of life [5, 6]. From a public health perspective, it is important to identify the factors involved in the development of depressive symptoms and the concomitant decrease in quality of life.

It has often been suggested that long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LCPUFA), which are primarily found in fish, may lower the risk of developing depressive symptoms [7-9]. Strikingly, the relationship between LCPUFA status and quality of life has scarcely been investigated – only one study has addressed this issue to date. In a community-based study, Crowe et al. examined the associations between serum phospholipid LCPUFA status and the physical and mental health components of quality of life [10]. Although the authors did not find any associations between mental well-being and the two LCPUFA that are predominant in fish, i.e. eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, 20:5n–3) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 22:6n–3), they did find a positive relationship between EPA concentration and physical well-being. In addition, Silvers and Scott addressed the relationships between fish consumption and the physical and mental health dimensions of quality of life in a population-based sample [11]. Interestingly, this study reported a positive relationship between fish consumption and self-reported mental health, but not physical well-being.

Unfortunately, the two above-mentioned studies solely focused on either LCPUFA status or fish consumption, which makes it difficult to compare their results. An additional limitation of the study by Silvers and Scott is the crude distinction between fish consumers and non-consumers, without taking into account the frequency and/or kind of fish consumption.
The aim of the present study was to investigate the cross-sectional relationships between LCPUFA status, fish intake, and quality of life in a population-based sample. In order to compensate for the limitations of the above-mentioned studies, we included detailed measurements of both fish consumption and plasma phospholipid LCPUFA concentrations in our study.
2. PATIENTS AND METHODS

2.1. Design and study population

The present study was part of a longitudinal research program investigating the determinants and consequences of cognitive aging, the Maastricht Aging Study (MAAS) [12]. Participants were randomly drawn from a register of family practices [13]. Medically verified exclusion criteria at baseline were chronic neurological pathology, psychiatric disorders, mental retardation, and psychotropic drug use. The study population consisted of 1,823 participants, aged 24-81 years at baseline, and comprised four demographically identical panels, each stratified for age, sex, and general ability level. A detailed description of the MAAS study design can be found elsewhere [12, 14].

The present study was carried out in one single panel of the MAAS study, consisting of 470 participants at baseline, of whom 301 individuals participated in the 12-year reassessments. During the follow-up period, 169 participants dropped out due to a variety of reasons, including death, serious illnesses, and refusal to participate. The most common reasons for refusal were “being too occupied”, “feeling too ill”, and “participation is too time-consuming” [15]. Dropouts did not differ from the remaining participants in terms of age, sex, level of education, marital status, alcohol consumption, smoking, and body mass index (BMI). At 12-year follow-up, habitual fish consumption and quality of life were measured by means of questionnaires. Participation in a sidearm study that involved blood sampling was voluntary; venous blood was collected from 241 individuals in order to determine individual LCPUFA status. Sixty individuals refused to donate blood. Volunteers did not differ from the other participants in the panel in terms of age, sex, and level of education. The study was approved by the local Medical Ethics Committee. Prior to enrolment all participants signed an informed consent form.
2.2. Quality of life assessment

The Short Form 36 Health Survey (SF-36) is a generic, health-related quality of life questionnaire based on self-report [16]. It is composed of thirty-six items, organized into eight multi-item scales measuring physical well-being (Physical Functioning, Role Limitations due to Physical Problems, Bodily Pain, and General Health Perception) and mental well-being (Vitality, Social Functioning, Role Limitations due to Emotional Problems, and Mental Health) over the past four weeks. The raw scale scores were standardized and aggregated into a Physical Component Summary score and a Mental Component Summary score [17]. The two summary scores range from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating better quality of life.

2.3. Fatty acid status

Plasma was separated from blood cells by centrifugation and collected in tubes, which were closed under nitrogen and stored at -80°C until fatty acid analysis. Fatty acid profiles of phospholipids isolated from venous plasma were determined as described before [18]. Total phospholipid-associated fatty acid concentrations are expressed as mg/L plasma, and relative fatty acid concentrations as percentages of the total amount of phospholipid-associated fatty acids (%wt/wt). Forty-two fatty acids were identified. In the present study, five fatty acids were considered of interest, i.e. arachidonic acid (AA, 20:4n–6), EPA, and DHA, which are implicated in physical and mental health [19-21], the DHA status parameter adrenic acid (AdrA, 22:4n–6), and docosapentaenoic acid (DPA, 22:5n–3), which is a major intermediate in EPA-to-DHA conversion.

2.4. Fish consumption

Fish consumption was measured by means of a validated short self-report questionnaire [22]. Fish types were categorized according to DHA content, as DHA is the predominant LCPUFA
in fish [23]: low (fish fingers, prawns, pickled herring, cod, mussels, plaice, tuna, and tilapia),
intermediate (trout, raw herring, smoked eel, smoked salmon, canned salmon), and high
(smoked herring, herring in tomato sauce, mackerel, canned sardines, salmon). Frequency of
consumption (never, once a month, two to three times a month, once a week, more often than
once a week) was used to calculate fish consumption within each category: 0, 1, 2, 4, and 8
for the ‘low DHA’ category; 0, 2, 4, 8, and 16 for the ‘intermediate DHA’ category; and 0, 3,
6, 12, and 24 for the ‘high DHA’ category. Total fish consumption corresponded to the sum
of the scores of the three DHA categories. Overall fish consumption scores range from 0 to
48, indexing a dimensionless estimate of fish intake.

2.5. Sociodemographic and lifestyle variables
Age, sex, level of education (low/high), marital status (married or living together/not
married), alcohol consumption (low/high), smoking (yes/no), and BMI (kg/m^2) were treated
as covariates [24-27]. Level of education, measured by classifying formal schooling
according to the Dutch educational system [28], was categorized into ‘low’ (primary
education – junior vocational education) or ‘high’ (higher secondary education – university
education). Alcohol consumption, measured by means of a short self-report questionnaire,
was dichotomized into ‘low’ or ‘high’ according to WHO standards [29]. High alcohol intake
corresponded to the consumption of at least two (women) or three (men) alcoholic beverages
(standard units, i.e. 8 g ethanol per drink) per day on average.

2.6. Statistical analysis
Normal P-P plots indicated skewness of EPA concentration and fish consumption, which was
corrected by log-transformation. Pearson’s product-moment correlations were calculated for
all variables. Hierarchical linear regression analyses were performed to investigate the
associations between fish consumption or the five fatty acids of interest on the one hand and
quality of life on the other. Separate regression models were fitted for the Physical and
Mental Component Summary scores of the SF-36, adjusting for age, sex, level of education,
marital status, alcohol consumption, smoking, and BMI in the first step. The primary
predictor variables, the LCPUFA (Model 1) or fish consumption (Model 2), were entered in
step 2. In a third model, the associations between fish consumption and quality of life were
additionally adjusted for the LCPUFA, in order to investigate whether the putative
associations between fish consumption and quality of life were attributable to the LCPUFA
content of fish. To this end, the covariates were entered in step 1, the LCPUFA in step 2, and
fish consumption in step 3.

Statistical differences were considered significant at p-values < 0.05. A power calculation
assuming a small effect size of 0.04 [30] revealed a statistical power of 0.86. All analyses
were performed using SPSS 16.0 for Apple Macintosh (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).
3. RESULTS

Data on quality of life and plasma phospholipid LCPUFA concentrations were available for 233 individuals, and information concerning fish consumption was available for 231 participants. The participants’ characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The scores (mean ± SD) on the eight scales of the SF-36 were 82.7 ± 21.1 for Physical Functioning, 81.0 ± 33.0 for Role Limitations due to Physical Problems, 80.3 ± 20.2 for Bodily Pain, 67.2 ± 18.5 for General Health Perception, 69.9 ± 17.1 for Vitality, 86.0 ± 18.6 for Social Functioning, 88.7 ± 26.9 for Role Limitations due to Emotional Problems, and 79.6 ± 15.5 for Mental Health. These scores were comparable to the age and gender-adjusted means obtained in the Dutch population [31]. The SF-36 Physical Component Summary scores ranged from 14.7 to 64.8, and the Mental Component Summary scores ranged from 8.8 to 69.9.

Fish consumption was positively correlated with the plasma phospholipid concentrations of the n–3 fatty acids EPA (Pearson’s $r=0.293$, $p<0.001$) and DHA ($r=0.520$, $p<0.001$), and negatively correlated with the n–6 fatty acid ARA ($r=0.232$, $p<0.001$). The correlations between fish consumption and AA, and between fish consumption and DPA were not significant ($r=0.105$, $p=0.110$ and $r=-0.106$, $p=0.110$, respectively). The plasma phospholipid concentrations of the fatty acids of interest fell within the normal range for a community-based population, as compared to other studies [8, 32].

Table 2 shows the results of the hierarchical linear regression analyses. After correction for age, sex, level of education, marital status, alcohol consumption, smoking, and BMI, no significant associations were identified between the Mental Component Summary score of the SF-36 and the LCPUFA concentrations, nor fish consumption. The SF-36 Physical Component Summary score showed no significant associations with the fatty acids of interest, whereas a significant positive relationship with fish consumption was found. The association between fish consumption and the Physical Component Summary score remained significant
after additional correction for the LCPUFA (Model 3) (Table 2). With respect to the sociodemographic and lifestyle variables, being married was positively related to mental well-being ($p=0.025$ in Model 1 and $p=0.021$ in Model 2), whereas age and BMI negatively predicted physical well-being ($p=0.012$ and $p<0.001$ in Model 1, and $p=0.003$ and $p<0.001$ in Model 2, respectively).
4. DISCUSSION

The present study suggests that fish consumption, but not LCPUFA status, is related to quality of life in the general population. The relationship between fish consumption and quality of life appears to apply specifically to the dimension of physical well-being, and seems to be independent of the fatty acid content of fish. We found no associations between mental well-being and neither LCPUFA status nor fish consumption.

The lack of a relationship between LCPUFA concentrations and subjective mental health is in agreement with the population-based study performed by Crowe et al., in which no associations between LCPUFA status and mental well-being were found [10]. However, with respect to physical well-being, the authors reported a positive association with serum phospholipid EPA concentrations. Although no associations between LCPUFA concentrations and physical well-being were identified in our study, we did find a positive relationship between fish consumption and physical well-being. Unfortunately, data on fish consumption were not included in the study by Crowe et al., which restricts comparison with the present findings.

Our findings oppose the results of the study conducted by Silvers and Scott, in which a positive relationship between fish consumption and mental rather than physical well-being was reported [11]. Their study showed considerable limitations, however, as fish consumption was recorded on a dichotomous rather than a continuous scale, and their study sample contained relatively few non-fish consumers \( (n=87) \) compared to the number of regular fish eaters \( (n=4,557) \). In addition, LCPUFA concentrations were not measured.

The present finding that fish consumption, but not LCPUFA status, may be related to physical well-being in the general population suggests that frequent dietary intake of fish may exert a beneficial effect on subjective physical health regardless of its fatty acid content. Indeed, no associations between the LCPUFA and physical well-being were found, despite significant
correlations between fish intake and the plasma phospholipid concentrations of DHA and EPA. Furthermore, the relationship between fish consumption and physical well-being remained significant after correction for LCPUFA status. It may therefore be argued that other nutrients present in fish, such as vitamins and antioxidants [33], might be responsible for the observed association with physical well-being.

Alternatively, fish consumption may also be related to physical health in a more indirect manner, since another, and perhaps more plausible, possibility is that high fish intake may serve as a proxy for a healthy lifestyle or a generally more favorable nutritional status, e.g. resulting from a Mediterranean diet [34, 35]. It should be noted, however, that due to the cross-sectional nature of the current study, it cannot be ruled out that poor physical health may lead to changes in dietary habits, including decreased fish intake.

The relationships between quality of life and either LCPUFA concentrations or fish consumption may be confounded by a number of variables, including age, sex, BMI, and marital status [24, 26, 27]. The present analyses were corrected for the potential confounders that we considered most likely to influence the associations studied. Altogether, the covariates accounted for up to 19% of the statistical variance in the regression models, which indicates a large effect [30]. The remaining part of the variance may be explained by predictors of physical and mental well-being that may not be confounders of the studied associations, such as employment status, demography, and social participation, as well as any measurement error associated with the independent and dependent variables.

The additional variance explained by fish consumption in the final regression model was 1.6%, which represents a small effect size [30]. To illustrate the strength of the observed association, as indicated by the standardized regression coefficient for fish consumption ($\beta=0.151$), an increase in fish consumption by one SD (6.9) corresponds to an increase in Physical Component Summary score by 1.5 points. For example, this implies a 1.5 points
higher score on physical well-being for someone increasing the consumption of fish of the intermediate DHA category from ‘once a week’ to ‘more often than once a week’. When the predictive value of fish consumption for physical well-being is compared to the relationship with age ($\beta=-0.183$) in the same regression model, a one SD increase in fish consumption corresponds to the physical well-being of an individual 11 years younger.

Quality of life is a complex concept, with various dimensions. The use of the SF-36 questionnaire for evaluating the physical and mental dimensions of quality of life is generally accepted, and its validity and reliability have been demonstrated in many population-based studies [e.g. 31, 36-38]. Not only was the SF-36 administered in the present study because of its wide acceptance as an appropriate instrument for the assessment of quality of life, it also facilitated the comparison of our findings with the results reported by Crowe et al. and Silvers and Scott, as these authors also used the SF-36 for assessing physical and mental well-being [10, 11].

The self-report questionnaire that was used to determine fish consumption has recently been validated in our study population [22]. By taking into account the frequency as well as the specific kind of fish consumed, it provides a relatively detailed measure of habitual fish intake, which goes beyond a mere categorization into fish consumers or non-consumers. A general limitation associated with food frequency questionnaires, however, is the fact that they provide an estimate of individual nutrient intake rather than the exact amounts of nutrients consumed. Although our questionnaire has been proven a reliable measure of LCPUFA intake from fish [22, 39], and strong correlations were found between fish consumption and DHA or EPA concentrations in the present study, the possibility of measurement error cannot be ruled out completely.

Because our study was part of the 12-year follow-up assessments of MAAS, we investigated whether selective attrition might have biased our results. As our study population did not
differ from the dropouts in terms of the baseline characteristics age, sex, level of education, marital status, alcohol consumption, smoking, and BMI, we consider this possibility unlikely. The present study has several strengths. First, we used a non-clinical sample with a broad age range, which increased the external validity of our study. Although our study population was about 10 to 20 times smaller than the samples used by Crowe et al. and Silvers and Scott, the statistical power of the present study was sufficiently large to detect associations even with small effect sizes. Hence, we consider it unlikely that using a larger sample size would have yielded different results in terms of the nature and the direction of the effects observed.

Second, both fish consumption and LCPUFA concentrations were measured, thereby enabling the comparison of these two dietary factors in relation to the different dimensions of quality of life. Moreover, these variables were measured on an interval (fish consumption) or continuous (LCPUFA) scale rather than a categorical scale. This not only increased the statistical power of the analyses, but also allowed for a more accurate description of the associations studied. Finally, we corrected our statistical analyses for a number of sociodemographic and lifestyle variables that were considered potential confounders, which contributed to the internal validity of the study by reducing the chance of a type I error.

Similar to the two above-mentioned studies, our study was limited by its cross-sectional nature, which does not allow for inferences about the causality of the observed relationship between fish consumption and quality of life.

Summarizing, the present study indicates that fish consumption, but not LCPUFA status, may be related to quality of life in the general population. More specifically, fish consumption appears to be associated with subjective physical health rather than mental well-being. This differential finding suggests that fish consumption may serve as a proxy for a healthy lifestyle or a favorable nutritional status, which is reflected in better quality of life. Prospective studies are needed to investigate the causality of the observed relationship between fish consumption
and quality of life.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total sample ($n=233$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>60.0 (36-88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex (%)</td>
<td>50.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low level of education (%)</td>
<td>70.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married (%)</td>
<td>79.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High alcohol consumption (%)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker (%)</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ($\text{kg/m}^2$)</td>
<td>$26.3 \pm 4.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Component Summary score</td>
<td>$48.7 \pm 9.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Component Summary score</td>
<td>$53.6 \pm 8.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish consumption $^a$</td>
<td>8.6 (0-44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA ($%$wt/wt) $^b$</td>
<td>$9.62 \pm 2.12$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA ($%$wt/wt)</td>
<td>$0.99 \pm 0.49$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA ($%$wt/wt)</td>
<td>$3.45 \pm 1.04$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdrA ($%$wt/wt)</td>
<td>$0.29 \pm 0.08$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPA ($%$wt/wt)</td>
<td>$0.93 \pm 0.19$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean ± SD, mean (range), or %; BMI = body mass index; AA = arachidonic acid (20:4n–6); EPA = eicosapentaenoic acid (20:5n–3); AdrA = adrenic acid (22:4n–6); DPA = docosapentaenoic acid (22:5n–3); DHA = docosahexaenoic acid (22:6n–3).

$^a$ $n=231$.

$^b$ Fatty acid concentrations are expressed as percentages of the total amount of plasma phospholipid-associated fatty acids.
TABLE 2

Associations between quality of life and plasma phospholipid LCPUFA concentrations or fish consumption.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>$R^2$ step 1(^{a})</th>
<th>$R^2$ change step 2</th>
<th>$R^2$ change step 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Component Summary Score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCPUFA status(^b)</td>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>0.185**</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish consumption</td>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>0.187**</td>
<td>0.015*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>0.187**</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.016*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Component Summary Score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCPUFA status</td>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish consumption</td>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LCPUFA = long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid.

\(^a\) $R^2$ represents the proportion of explained variance, and $R^2$ change represents the change in the proportion of explained variance after each step in hierarchical linear regression analyses. The covariates age, sex, level of education, marital status, alcohol consumption, smoking, and body mass index were entered in step 1 and the fatty acids of interest (Model 1) or fish consumption (Model 2) in step 2. In Model 3, the covariates were entered in step 1, the fatty acids of interest in step 2, and fish consumption in step 3.
b LCPUFA status comprises arachidonic acid (20:4n–6), eicosapentaenoic acid (20:5n–3), docosahexaenoic acid (22:6n–3), adrenic acid (22:4n–6), and docosapentaenoic acid (22:5n–3).

\* \( p < 0.05 \).

\** \( p < 0.01 \).