Overview of the presentation

• RPL as a vision, an instrument, a procedure and an assessment method
• RPL in relation to university skills and knowledge
• Implementing RPL
### RPL as a vision

- RPL benefits to workers, students, labour market, universities, ...
Background

- Recognition of Prior Learning is a “procedure in which the knowledge, skills and competences of a person are assessed according to a certain standard, with the objective of recognition, independently of the learning path”.
- Why Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL)?
  - Recognition of non- and informal learning
  - An answer to a social question
  - Cooperation with the labor market
  - Adult students, lifelong learning
  - Opportunity to recognize work and life experiences
  - Increase of self consciousness and self confidence
  - Clarifies educational choices
  - Reduces study time (and costs)
  - Employability and mobility

Findings

- Many terms:
  - ‘prior learning assessment’ (PLA)
  - ‘prior learning assessment and recognition’ (PLAR)
  - ‘recognition of prior learning’ (RPL)
  - ‘accreditation of prior experiential learning’ (APEL)
  - ‘recognition of acquired competences’ (Dutch: EVC)
  - ‘accreditation of prior learning’ (APL)
  - ‘assessment of experiential learning’ (AEL)
  - ‘credit for prior learning’ (CPL)
  - ‘assessment of prior learning’ (APL)
  - ‘assessment of prior certificated learning’ (APCL)
  - …
RPL values learning acquired through:

- work & life experiences
- on-the-job training
- non-credit courses & workshops
- community & volunteer work
- corporate training
- travel, hobbies, and other leisure activities
- family activities
- independent study
- union activities
- military experience

RPL has universal appeal...

- workers
- education providers
- employers
- students
- small business owners & contractors
- professional licensing bodies
- immigrants
- persons with disabilities
- communities
RECOGNITION

Various forms and purposes:
• Credit(s) granted by post-secondary institutions
• Occupational/professional certification
• Employer recognition of skills for recruitment or promotion
• Recognition of skills and knowledge acquired outside Canada

Other Abbreviations:
• PLA Prior Learning Assessment (Canada & US)
• RPL Recognition of Prior Learning (Australia)
• APEL Assessment of Prior Experiential Learning (Southern Ireland)
• APL Assessment of Prior Learning (UK)
RPL is a PROCESS

• RPL is a systematic process that typically involves 4 stages:
  1. Identification of learning
  2. Documentation & collection of evidence
  3. Assessment against ‘standards’
  4. Recognition

Who’s involved in the RPL Process?

• Individual seeking recognition
• Advisor
• Assessor
What do Advisors do?

- ‘Coaching’ role.
- Provide information.
- Assist individuals in identifying prior learning through self-assessment and reflection.
- Assist individuals with the collection of evidence.

Demonstrating “prior learning”...

There are many ways! You can:
- create a portfolio or evidence file
- participate in an interview
- take an oral or written test
- provide samples of your work
- demonstrate a particular skill
- complete an assignment
What do Assessors do?

- Use a systematic process to assess skills and knowledge against established standards and/or learning outcomes.
- Review ‘evidence’ to help make decisions.
- Usually expert in the subject area being assessed.

What is the Saskatchewan PLAR Services Project?

- Project of the Saskatchewan Labour Force Development Board (SLFDB).
- HRDC providing seed funding through the Learning Initiatives Fund.
- Significant in-kind contributions from business, labour and government.
Key Project Objectives:

• Help individuals use PLAR to achieve occupational and academic goals; and

• Build capacity for the long-term delivery of PLAR services in Saskatchewan.

Our role...

• We play a coordinating, interconnecting role in developing PLAR services in Saskatchewan.

• We working collaboratively with our partners in labour, business, education, and the labour force.
Project Activities...

- Promote awareness through information sessions.
- Help develop Advisor and Assessor workshops.
- Establish resources and website.
- Work with forestry sector to pilot occupational assessment models.
- Assist in the formulation of a provincial PLAR policy.

Why is RPL important?

- Opportunity to better utilize the “human resource” potential of existing workforce.
- Supports **lifelong learning** culture.
- **Efficiency** - eliminates repetitive training.
- Increases **access** to education & work-based training programs.
- Enhances labour **mobility** and **employability**.
Benefits to Employers

• Practical, reliable way to identify and assess skills - useful for recruitment, selection and promotion
• Efficiency – leads to more cost effective training
• Strengthens workers’ self-concept and encourages further learning
• Opportunity to collaborate with educational institutions and influence curriculum

Benefits to Workers

• Demonstrates ability to do a job
•Eliminates repetitive training
• Increases self-confidence and self-esteem
• Helps clarify and set employment goals
• Enhances employability, marketability, and job mobility
• Opens doors to further education and training
Benefits to Students

• Opportunity to gain credit for work and life experiences
• Increases self-awareness and self-confidence
• Helps clarify educational and career goals
• Improves marketability by showcasing talents and skills through effective documentation
• Save training time and $$$

Benefits to Education Providers

• Increases accessibility to programs
• Leads to more cost effective training delivery
• Expands services to the community
• Forges strategic partnerships with industry
• Provides professional development opportunities for educators
Definitions

PLAR: a process of identifying and measuring learning acquired outside known public educational institutions for recognition through academic credit. (Aarts, Blower, Burke, Conlin, Lamarre, McCrossan, and Van Kleef, 2003)

RPL: a process in which the individuals’ learning is assessed to determine the extent to which that individual has achieved the required learning outcomes, competency outcomes, or standards for entry to, and/or partial or total completion of, a qualification (Wheelahan, Miller and Newton, 2002)

Benefits of the procedure

• accessibility of education
• growing emphasis on lifelong and flexible learning
• optimizing of the learning environment
• summative and formative reasons of assessment
• increase personal development
• increase of connection between educational programs and the labor market
Communalities and differences

- Types of learning:
  - formal, non-formal, informal
  - competences
- The phases in the procedure:
  - (1) identification and initiation, (2) gathering and presenting the evidence,
  - (3) assessing the evidence, (4) accreditation
- The assessment methods:
  - portfolio
- The possible outcomes:
  - entrance, positioning, certification

Role of the student and the institute

- Student is responsible for gathering and presenting the evidence
  - Self-assessment
  - Self-confidence
- Institute has to be clear about the procedure.
  - How to support the student?
Conclusion

- Many procedures available to recognize, assess and credit prior learning. The student is responsible for gathering and presenting the evidence of prior learning.
- The institute has to decide about the procedure:
  - Including the support of the students.
- The main goal:
  - Connecting Learning Environments through Prior Learning
RPL Procedure

General information session
Advisory consult
Composing portfolio
Portfolio assessment
Assessment conversation
Additional assessment
Advice with motivation
Recognition
Receive study plan with remaining study path

Portfolio

• A portfolio is a composition of work that a learner has selected and collected to show knowledge, skills or competences, and includes the reflections of the learner on the selected and collected work (Barrett, 2003).

• The most common method for presenting the evidence of prior learning.
Personal Information (CV)

- Name, address, date of birth, telephone number, email
- Working experience (short) Type of function
- Certificates of formal education
- Courses, workshops
Self-assessment

Work-experience have to be at a higher education level.
Indicate your level by scoring the following statements
(0 = totally disagree; 3 = totally agree)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral and written expertise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. I have written clear reports.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. I can make myself clear.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. I can recapitulate something briefly.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. I have given several oral presentations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. I have the ability to give feedback in a proper way.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. ....</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overview APL-request

I think assessment of my working experience will lead to exemptions for the following courses:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Courses</th>
<th>□</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative processes and accounting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction financial accounting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Argumentation

- Situation
- Tasks
- Activities
- Result
- Reflection
- Transfer
  - STARRT

Evidence / products

Criteria for evidence:
- educationally relevant
- transferable
- appropriate level
- valid
- authentic
- specific, identified and categorized
- recent
- sufficient
### Quality criteria for competency assessment programs*

1. Fitness for purpose
2. Transparency
3. Acceptability
4. Comparability
5. Fairness
6. Cognitive complexity
7. Costs & efficiency
8. Reproducibility of decisions
9. Fitness for self-assessment
10. Meaningfulness
11. Educational consequences
12. Authenticity

*Baartman, Bastiaens, Kirschner, & Van der Vleuten (2006)*

### Quality criteria

- The same as other assessments
- The evidence should be:
  - educationally relevant
  - at the right level
  - attributed to the individual
  - authentic
  - broad
  - sufficient
  - current
Support in RPL

- In which RPL phase is embedded and/or personal support desired?
- Which specific types of personal and embedded support and support mediums are most desired in RPL?
- Which type of support has the highest added value and is the most efficient?

Results (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Candidate profiling</th>
<th>Embedded support</th>
<th>Personal support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-assessment instrument</td>
<td>General information session once or twice a year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Website with all RPL information</td>
<td>Voluntary face-to-face standard conversations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPL manual</td>
<td>Personal email for interim questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good and bad examples with clarification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FAQs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Results (2)

#### 2. Evidence-gathering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Embedded support</th>
<th>Personal support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mind manager system with portfolio format</td>
<td>Discussions about evidence examples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good and bad examples with clarification</td>
<td>Workshop by virtual classroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual: How to compose a portfolio</td>
<td>Personal email for interim questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic seeking and presenting of analogous cases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAQs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Results (3)

#### 3. Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Embedded support</th>
<th>Personal support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>List of criteria</td>
<td>Individual face-to-face conversation based on assessment criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaboration of protocol</td>
<td>Discussion about former assessment results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good and bad portfolio examples for competence assessment</td>
<td>Personal email for interim questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overview of jurisprudence on assessment results</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Results (4)

#### 4. Recognition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Embedded support</th>
<th>Personal support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Examples of cases in which recognition was and was not given</td>
<td>Personal email for interim questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of standard recognitions and recognition phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphic overviews of the recognisable programme elements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Implementation strategy

- Clear scope and vision
- Involvement of stakeholders (including the RPL candidate)
- Define the quality criteria
- Business case
- Pilot studies
- Communication plan
- Training plan
- Support plan
- Describe functions, roles and responsibilities
- Evaluate
Thank you for your attention.

desiree.joosten-tenbrinke@ou.nl